arXiv:1407.4041v1 [quant-ph] 15 Jul 2014

Investigation graph isomorphism problem via entanglement entropy in strongly regular graphs

M. A. Jafarizadeh[a] *, F. Eghbalifam[a] †, S. Nami[a] ‡

[a]Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

July 16, 2014

Abstract

We investigate the quantum networks that their nodes are considered as quantum harmonic oscillators. The entanglement of the ground state can be used to quantify the amount of information one part of a network shares with the other part of the system. The networks which we studied in this paper, are called strongly regular graphs (SRG). These kinds of graphs have some special properties like they have three strata in the stratification basis. The Schur complement method is used to calculate the Schmidt number and entanglement entropy between two parts of graph. We could obtain analytically, all blocks of adjacency matrix in several important kinds of strongly regular graphs. Also

*E-mail:jafarizadeh@tabrizu.ac.ir

†E-mail:F.Egbali@tabrizu.ac.ir

‡E-mail:S.Nami@tabrizu.ac.ir

the entanglement entropy in the large coupling limit is considered in these graphs and the relationship between Entanglement entropy and the ratio of size of boundary to size of the system is found. Then, area-law is studied to show that there are no entanglement entropy for the highest size of system.

Then, the graph isomorphism problem is considered in SRGs by using the elements of blocks of adjacency matrices. Two SRGs with the same parameters:$(n, \kappa, \lambda, \nu)$ are isomorphic if they can be made identical by relabeling their vertices. So the adjacency matrices of two isomorphic SRGs become identical by replacing of rows and columns. The nonisomirph SRGs could be distinguished by using the elements of blocks of adjacency matrices in the stratification basis, numerically.

1 Introduction

Entanglement plays a crucial role in quantum information processing, including quantum communication [1,2] and quantum computation [3-5]. It is one of the remarkable features that distinguishes quantum mechanics from classical mechanics.

For decades, entanglement has been the focus of much work in the foundations of quantum mechanics, being associated particularly with quantum nonseparability and the violation of Bells inequalities[6]. In recent years, however, it has begun to be viewed also as a potentially useful resource. The predicted capabilities of a quantum computer, for example, rely crucially on entanglement[7].

The synergy between the field of complex networks and that of information theory has recently appealed to the quantum information community. The use of quantum dynamical processes, such as quantum random walks [8] has given new quantum information perspectives to classical problems of the network realm.

In [9] the authors quantified the amount of information that a single element of a quantum network shares with the rest of the system. They considered a network of quantum harmonic

oscillators and analyzed its ground state to compute the entropy of entanglement that vacuum fluctuations creates between single nodes and the rest of the network by using the entropy of entanglement, defined as the Von Neumann entropy.

One of the important problems about networks is the graph isomorphism problem. Two graphs are isomorphic, if one can be transformed into the other by a relabeling of vertices (i.e. two graphs with the same number of vertices and edges are nonisomorph, if they can not be transformed into each other by relabeling of vertices). Many graph pairs may be distinguished by a classical algorithm which runs in a time polynomial in the number of vertices of the graphs, but there exist pairs which are computationally difficult to distinguish. Currently, the best general classical algorithm has a run time $O(c^{\sqrt{N}\log N})$, where c is a constant and N is the number of vertices in the two graphs. Strongly regular graphs (SRGs) are a particular class of graphs that have four dependent parameter $(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu)$, that are dificult to distinguish classically [10]. Graph isomorphism is believed to be similar to factoring in that both are though to be NP-Intermediate problem [11]. Additionally, both problems may be approached as hidden subgroup problems, though this approach has had limited success for GI[25]. Due to these similarities, and the known quantum speedup available for factoring [26], there is hope that there similarly exists a quantum speedup for GI.

Strongly regular graphs (SRGs) are a particular class of graphs that are difficult to distinguish classically. One class of algorithms that has been explored for GI is that of quantum random walks.

Shiau et al. showed that the single-particle continuous-time QRW fails to distinguish pairs of SRGs with the same family parameters [11]. Gamble et al. extended these results, proving that QRWs of two noninteracting particles will always fail to distinguish pairs of nonisomorphic SRGs with the same family parameters [12].

Then Rudinger et al. numerically demonstrated that three-particle noninteracting walks have distinguishing power on pairs of SRGs [13,14].

In this paper, we want to investigate the graph isomorphism problem in strongly regular graphs. To this aim, we use the entanglement to distinguish two nonisomorph SRGs. So first we use the stratification techniques [15-19], to write the adjacency matrices of SRGs in the block form. The obtained matrix, becomes block diagonal in the stratification basis. We called it the block-diagonal adjacency matrix. The first block of obtained matrix, will be a 3×3 matrix and the other blocks are 2×2 or singlets. The 3×3 block is related only to the parameters of the SRG and obtains analytically in terms of parameters for all SRGs. The entanglement entropy can be used for calculating the entanglement between two parts of graph. The entanglement between the first stratum (which has only one vertex) and other vertices (second and third strata), will be obtained only from 3×3 matrix. But for calculating the entanglement between other subsets, we need the 3×3 and all of the 2×2 blocks of adjacency matrix. We discuss about the elements of these 2×2 blocks and give some important relations between its elements. Also for several important kinds of SRGs, we could obtain the 2×2 blocks of adjacency matrices analytically. So the entanglement entropy between all two subsets, will be obtained in these kinds of SRGs analytically. For the other SRGs which their adjacency matrices were identified, we could calculate the block-diagonal adjacency matrix numerically and could distinguish the nonisomorph SRGs from their 2×2 blocks.

In the section II, first we describe the Hamiltonian of our model in subsection 2.1. Also we demonstrate the Schmidt decomposition and entanglement entropy in 2.2. Finally in 2.3 we give some properties of strongly regular graphs.

In section III, we calculated the entanglement entropy between two parts of the SRGs. It is performed by using the Schur complement method and some local transformations. We obtained the Schmidt decomposition and Schmidt numbers of the ground state wave function.

In section IV, we used the spectral techniques to obtain some important relations for the elements of the block-diagonal adjacency matrix.

In this section, we give some simple kinds of SRGs. These kinds of SRGs don't contain non-

isomorph graphs. Then we give four kinds of SRGs in four examples that we obtain their blockdiagonal adjacency matrices by using the relations of previous section and the information of graphs analytically. For each of examples we show that we can distinguish nonisomorph SRGs from the block-diagonal adjacency matrix.

In section V, we give some other examples of nonisomorph SRGs which can be distinguished by using their block-diagonal adjacency matrices numerically. The Schur complement method is in the Appendix A and the stratification techniques are given in Appendix B.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The model and hamiltonian

The nodes are considered as identical quantum oscillators, interacting as dictated by the network topology encoded in the Laplacian L. The Laplacian of a network is defined from the Adjacency matrix as $L_{ij} = k_i\delta_{ij} - A_{ij}$, where $k_i = \sum_j A_{ij}$ is the connectivity of node i, i.e., the number of nodes connected to i. The Hamiltonian of the quantum network thus reads:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(P^T P + X^T(I + 2gL)X) \tag{2-1}$$

here I is the $N \times N$ identity matrix, g is the coupling strength between connected oscillators while $p^T = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_N)$ and $x^T = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_N)$ are the operators corresponding to the momenta and positions of nodes respectively, satisfying the usual commutation relations: $[x, p^T] = i\hbar I$ (we set $\hbar = 1$ in the following) and the matrix $V = I + 2gL$ is the potential matrix. Then the ground state of this Hamiltonian is:

$$\psi(X) = \frac{(det(I + 2gL))^{1/4}}{\pi^{N/4}} exp(-\frac{1}{2}(X^T(I + 2gL)X)) \tag{2-2}$$

Where the $A_g = \frac{(det(I+2gL))^{1/4}}{\pi^{N/4}}$ is the normalization factor for wave function. The elements of the potential matrix in terms of entries of adjacency matrix is

$$V_{ij} = (1 + 2g\kappa_i)\delta_{ij} - 2gA_{ij}$$

2.2 Schmidt decomposition and entanglement entropy

The Schmidt decomposition is a very good tool to study entanglement of bipartite pure states. The Schmidt number provides an important variable to classify entanglement. Any bipartite pure state $|\psi\rangle_{AB} \in H = H_A \otimes H_B$ can be decomposed, by choosing an appropriate basis, as

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i |a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \tag{2-3}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq min\{dim(H_A); dim(H_B)\}$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^2 = 1$. Here $|a_i\rangle$ ($|b_i\rangle$) form a part of an orthonormal basis in H_A (H_B). The positive numbers α_i are called the Schmidt coefficients of $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ and the number m is called the Schmidt rank of $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$. The entanglement of a partly entangled pure state can be naturally parameterized by its entropy of entanglement, defined as the von Neumann entropy of either ρ_A or ρ_B, or equivalently as the Shannon entropy of the squares of the Schmidt coefficients [23].

$$E = -Tr\rho_A log_2 \rho_A = Tr\rho_B log_2 \rho_B = -\sum_i \alpha_i^2 log_2 \alpha_i^2 \tag{2-4}$$

2.3 Strongly regular graphs(SRG)

A graph (simple, A graph (simple, undirected and loopless) of order n is strongly regular with parameters n, κ, λ, μ whenever it is not complete or edgeless and

(i) each vertex is adjacent to κ vertices,

(ii) for each pair of adjacent vertices there are λ vertices adjacent to both,

(iii) for each pair of non-adjacent vertices there are μ vertices adjacent to both.

We assume throughout that a strongly regular graph G is connected and that G is not a complete graph. Consequently, κ is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of G with multiplicity 1 and

$$n - 1 > \kappa \geq \mu > 0 \quad , \quad \kappa - 1 > \lambda \geq 0 \tag{2-5}$$

Counting the number of edges in G connecting the vertices adjacent to a vertex x and the

vertices not adjacent to x in two ways we obtain

$$\kappa(\kappa - \lambda - 1) = (n - \kappa - 1)\mu \tag{2-6}$$

So the relation between these parameters is

$$\kappa^2 = (\kappa - \mu) + \mu n + (\lambda - \mu)\kappa \tag{2-7}$$

The adjacency matrix of any SRG satisfies the particularly useful algebraic identity

$$A^2 = (\kappa - \mu)I + \mu J + (\lambda - \mu)A \tag{2-8}$$

where I is the identity and J is the matrix of all ones.

3 Entropy of entanglement in the ground state of quantum harmonic oscillators

In order to calculate the entanglement entropy between two parts in the graph (for example strata 1 and $(2, 3)$), we introduce the following process: First one divide the potential matrix of the graph into three part as

$$V = I + 2gL = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 \\ V_{21} & V_{22} & V_{23} \\ 0 & V_{32} & V_{33} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-9}$$

Then by using the generalized Schur complement method, the potential matrix can be write

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 \\ V_{21} & V_{22} & V_{23} \\ 0 & V_{32} & V_{33} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 & V_{23}V_{33}^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & I_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 \\ V_{12}^T & V_{22} - V_{23}V_{33}^{-1}V_{32} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & V_{33}^{-1}V_{32} & I_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-10}$$

In the transformed matrix the blocks are scalar. So for calculating the entanglement between two subsets, it is sufficient to use the 2×2 matrix as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12}^T & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{12}^T & V_{22} - V_{23}V_{33}^{-1}V_{32} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-11}$$

The wave function in this stage is

$$\psi(x,y) = A_g exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x \qquad y) \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) \tag{3-12}$$

by rescaling the variables x and y:

$$\widetilde{x} = a_{11}^{1/2} x$$

$$\widetilde{y} = a_{22}^{1/2} y$$

the ground state wave function is transformed to

$$\psi(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}) = A_g exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{x} \qquad \widetilde{y}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d \\ d & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{x} \\ \widetilde{y} \end{pmatrix}) \tag{3-13}$$

where $d = a_{11}^{-1/2} a_{12} a_{22}^{-1/2}$. So the ground state wave function is

$$\psi(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}) = A_g e^{-\frac{\widetilde{x^2}}{2} - \frac{\widetilde{y^2}}{2} - d\widetilde{xy}} \tag{3-14}$$

From above equation, it's clear that the node $\widetilde{x}$ is just entangled with $\widetilde{y}$, so one can use following identity to calculate the schmidt number of this wave function,

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} exp(-\frac{1+t^2}{2(1-t^2)}((\widetilde{x})^2 + (\widetilde{y})^2)) + \frac{2t}{1-t^2}\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y}) = (1-t^2)^{1/2} \sum_n t^n \psi_n(\widetilde{x})\psi_n(\widetilde{y}) \tag{3-15}$$

In order to calculating the entropy, we apply a change of variable as

$$1 - t^2 = \frac{2}{\gamma + 1}$$

$$t^2 = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1}$$

So the above identity becomes

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}}exp(-\frac{\gamma}{2}((\widetilde{x})^2+(\widetilde{y})^2))+(\gamma^2-1)^{1/2}\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y})=(\frac{2}{\gamma+1})^{1/2}\sum_n(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1})^{n/2}\psi_n(\widetilde{x})\psi_n(\widetilde{y}) \quad (3\text{-}16)$$

and the reduced density matrix is

$$\rho=\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\sum_n(\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1})^n|n\rangle\langle n| \quad (3\text{-}17)$$

and the entropy is

$$S(\rho)=\frac{\gamma+1}{2}log(\frac{\gamma+1}{2})-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}log(\frac{\gamma-1}{2}) \quad (3\text{-}18)$$

By definition the scale μ^2, we obtain

$$\gamma=1\times\mu^2$$

$$(\gamma^2-1)^{1/2}=-d\times\mu^2$$

After some straightforward calculation

$$\gamma=(\frac{1}{1-d^2})^{1/2} \quad (3\text{-}19)$$

Where d is schmidt number.

4 Calculating Bipartite entanglement in stratificatin basis of SRG

The adjacency matrix for a strongly regular graph is

$$A=|0\rangle\langle 1|\otimes e_\kappa^T+|1\rangle\langle 0|\otimes e_\kappa+|1\rangle\langle 1|\otimes A_{11}+|1\rangle\langle 2|\otimes A_{12}+|2\rangle\langle 1|\otimes A_{12}^T+|2\rangle\langle 2|\otimes A_{22} \quad (4\text{-}20)$$

And

$$A^2=\begin{pmatrix} k & e_\kappa^T A_{11} & e_\kappa^T A_{12} \\ A_{11}e_\kappa & e_\kappa e_\kappa^T+A_{11}^2+A_{12}A_{12}^T & A_{11}A_{12}+A_{12}A_{22} \\ A_{12}^T e_\kappa & A_{12}^T A_{11}+A_{22}A_{12}^T & A_{12}^T A_{12}+A_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4\text{-}21)$$

From the block (1,3) of equation (4-20) and equation (2-8) we conclude that

$$e_\kappa^T A_{12} = \mu e_{\kappa'}^T$$

$$A_{12}^T e_\kappa = \mu e_{\kappa'} \tag{4-22}$$

So

$$\sum_\alpha (A_{12})_{\alpha,j} = \mu \tag{4-23}$$

Also can be written from the block (1,2)

$$\sum_\alpha (A_{11})_{\alpha j} = \lambda, \qquad \sum_\alpha (A_{11})_{j\alpha} = \lambda \tag{4-24}$$

From the block (2,3) of A^2 we have

$$A_{11}A_{12} + A_{12}A_{22} = \mu J_{\kappa\kappa'} + (\lambda - \mu)A_{12} \tag{4-25}$$

Then we multiply the above equation from the left side in e_κ^T and use the equations (4-21) and (4-22) to prove that

$$\sum_\alpha (A_{22})_{\alpha j} = \kappa - \mu, \qquad \sum_\alpha (A_{22})_{j\alpha} = \kappa - \mu \tag{4-26}$$

Other equations are

$$A_{12}^T A_{12} + A_{22}^2 = (\kappa - \mu)I_{\kappa'} + \mu J_{\kappa'\kappa'} + (\lambda - \mu)A_{22} \tag{4-27}$$

$$A_{11}^2 + A_{12}A_{12}^T = (\kappa - \mu)I_\kappa + (\mu - 1)J_{\kappa\kappa} + (\lambda - \mu)A_{11} \tag{4-28}$$

Suppose that $A_{12} = O_1 D_{12} O_2^T$ be the singular value decomposition of A_{12}, then we multiply the equation (4-27) from left side in O_2^T and from the right hand in O_2, then by comparing the two side of relation, we see that the matrix A_{22} can be diagonal by orthogonal matrix O_2 as

$$A_{22} = O_2 D_{22} O_2^T$$

The similar result is obtained from equation (4-25) for the matrix A_{11}:

$$A_{11} = O_1 D_{11} O_1^T$$

By using the above result, the following transformation for adjacency matrix is obtained

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & O_1^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & O_2^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_\kappa^T & 0 \\ e_\kappa & A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{12}^T & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & O_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & O_2 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_\kappa^T O_1 & 0 \\ O_1^T e_\kappa & O_1^T A_{11} O_1 & O_1^T A_{12} O_2 \\ 0 & O_2^T A_{12}^T O_1 & O_2^T A_{22} O_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{k} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sqrt{\kappa} & & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & D_{11} & & & D_{12} & \\ 0 & & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & D_{12}^T & & & D_{22} & \\ 0 & & & & & & & \end{pmatrix} = D \quad (4\text{-}29)$$

Case I: First strata In this section we want to calculate the adjacency matrix in the basis of first strata, So the equations (4-25),(4-27) and (4-28) should be rewritten for the nonzero eigenvalue of matrix J, so

$$\sqrt{\lambda_{12}}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \mu\sqrt{\kappa(n-\kappa-1)} + (\lambda - \mu)\sqrt{\lambda_{12}}$$

$$\lambda_{12} + \lambda_2^2 = (\kappa - \mu) + \mu(n - \kappa - 1) + (\lambda - \mu)\lambda_2$$

$$\lambda_{12} + \lambda_1^2 = (\kappa - \mu) + (\mu - 1)k + (\lambda - \mu)\lambda_1 \quad (4\text{-}30)$$

Now the D^2 from equation (4-29) must be calculate :

$$D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} k & \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\kappa} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} D_{11} & \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\kappa} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} D_{12} \\ D_{11} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\kappa} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} + D_{11}^2 + D_{12}D_{12}^T & D_{11}D_{12} + D_{12}D_{22} \\ D_{12}^T \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\kappa} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & D_{12}^T D_{11} + D_{22}D_{12}^T & D_{12}^T D_{12} + D_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-31}$$

$$\equiv (\kappa - \mu)I + \mu J + (\lambda - \mu)D$$

Where the matrix J is in the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{\kappa} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sqrt{n-\kappa-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sqrt{\kappa} & \kappa & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sqrt{\kappa}\sqrt{n-\kappa-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sqrt{n-\kappa-1} & \sqrt{\kappa}\sqrt{n-\kappa-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & n-\kappa-1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-32}$$

from the block (3,1) and (2,1)

$$\sqrt{\kappa}\sqrt{\lambda_{12}} = \mu\sqrt{n-k-1}$$

$$\sqrt{k}\lambda_1 = \lambda\sqrt{\kappa} \tag{4-33}$$

So by substituting these results into equation (4-30) calculate the parameters λ_1, λ_2 and λ_{12} as

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda$$

$$\lambda_2 = \kappa - \mu$$

$$\lambda_{12} = \frac{\mu^2(n-\kappa-1)}{\kappa} \tag{4-34}$$

So the adjacency matrix in the basis of first strata is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\kappa} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\kappa} & \lambda & \frac{\mu\sqrt{n-\kappa-1}}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \\ 0 & \frac{\mu\sqrt{n-\kappa-1}}{\sqrt{\kappa}} & \kappa-\mu \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-35}$$

Now we want to investigate the bipartite entanglement entropy in SRGs in the case that the vertices of first and second strata are in the first subset and the other vertices are in the second subset. The potential matrix is

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{V}_{11} & \widetilde{V}_{12} \\ \widetilde{V}_{12}^T & \widetilde{V}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-36}$$

By using (4-35) we have

$$\widetilde{V}_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2g\kappa & -2g\sqrt{\kappa} \\ -2g\sqrt{\kappa} & 1+2g(\kappa-\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \widetilde{V}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2g\mu\frac{\sqrt{n-\kappa-1}}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \end{pmatrix}, \widetilde{V}_{22} = 1+2g\mu$$

After applying the schur complement method, the schmidt number of this case is

$$d^{(1)}_{(12,3)} = \frac{2\mu\sqrt{n-\kappa-1}\sqrt{1+2g\kappa}g}{\sqrt{\kappa}\sqrt{1+2g\mu}\sqrt{(1+2g\kappa)(1+2g(\kappa-\lambda))-4g^2\kappa}} \tag{4-37}$$

Now, we investigate the case that the vertex of first stratum is in the first subset and the other vertices are in the second subset. In this case the entanglement entropy between two subsets is obtained from only the first 3×3 block of adjacency matrix, which is given in equation (4-35). So, the potential matrix is

$$\widetilde{V}_{11} = 1+2g\kappa, \widetilde{V}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} -2g\sqrt{\kappa} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \widetilde{V}_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2g(\kappa-\lambda) & -2g\mu\frac{\sqrt{n-\kappa-1}}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \\ -2g\mu\frac{\sqrt{n-\kappa-1}}{\sqrt{\kappa}} & 1+2g\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

We have:

$$d_{(1,23)} = \frac{2\sqrt{\kappa}\sqrt{1+2g\mu}g}{\sqrt{1+2g\kappa}\sqrt{(1+2g\mu)(1+2g(\kappa-\lambda))-4g^2\mu(\kappa-\lambda-1)}} \tag{4-38}$$

the last case is that the vertices of second stratum is in the first subset and the other vertices are in the second subset. So, the potential matrix is

$$\widetilde{V}_{11} = 1+2g(\kappa-\lambda), \widetilde{V}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} -2g\sqrt{\kappa} & -2g\mu\frac{\sqrt{n-\kappa-1}}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \end{pmatrix}, \widetilde{V}_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2g\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 1+2g\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

We have:

$$d^{(1)}_{13,2} = 2g\sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2}{(1+2g\kappa)(1+2g(\kappa-\lambda))}+\frac{\mu(\kappa-\lambda-1)}{(1+2g\mu)(1+2g(\kappa-\lambda))}} \tag{4-39}$$

Case II: other strata

The equations (4-25), (4-27) and (4-28) for the other zero eigenvalues of matrix J become

$$\sqrt{\lambda_{12}}(\lambda_1+\lambda_2) = (\lambda-\mu)\sqrt{\lambda_{12}}$$

$$\lambda_{12}+\lambda_2^2 = (\kappa-\mu)+(\lambda-\mu)\lambda_2$$

$$\lambda_{12}+\lambda_1^2 = (\kappa-\mu)+(\lambda-\mu)\lambda_1 \tag{4-40}$$

The solution for these equations is

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1+\lambda_2 = \lambda-\mu & \lambda_{12}\neq 0 \\ \lambda_{1,2}^2 = (\kappa-\mu)+(\lambda-\mu)\lambda_{1,2} & \lambda_{12}=0 \end{cases} \tag{4-41}$$

And, the 2×2 matrix for other strata is obtained By solving eigenvalue equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1-x & \sqrt{\lambda_{12}} \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{12}} & \lambda_1-x \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of SRGs are $x_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-\mu\pm\sqrt{(\lambda-\mu)^2+4(\mu-\kappa)})$. So, by using this fact that the sum of two eigenvalues is $\kappa-\mu$ and the multiply of two eigenvalues is $\lambda-\mu$, we have

$$\lambda_{12}-\lambda_1\lambda_2 = \kappa-\mu \qquad \lambda_{12}\neq 0 \tag{4-42}$$

So if one have one of the λ_1, λ_2 or λ_{12} for other strata, one can calculate the two other parameters from the equations (4-41), (4-42).

The Schmidt number of other strata can be defined as following

$$d_{(2,3)}^{i\neq 1} = \frac{2g\sqrt{\lambda_{12}}}{\sqrt{1+2g(\lambda_{12}-\lambda_1)}\sqrt{1+2g(\lambda_{12}-\lambda_2)}} \tag{4-43}$$

4.1 Entanglement entropy in the large coupling limit

In this section, our derivation is based on the entanglement entropy for large coupling strength.

By using (4-38) We can rewrite the $d_{(1,23)}$ as following

$$d_{(1,23)}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2g\kappa}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2g}+\kappa-\lambda-\frac{2g\mu^2(n-\kappa-1)}{\kappa(1+2g\mu)}}}$$

Where $\frac{2g\mu^2(n-\kappa-1)}{\kappa(1+2g\mu)} \simeq \frac{\mu(n-\kappa-1)}{\kappa}(1-\frac{1}{2g\mu})$

Therefore, by using $(2-6)$ we have

$$d_{(1,23)}^{(1)} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2g\kappa}}\sqrt{1+\frac{n-1}{2g\kappa}}} \simeq 1-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$$

And $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2g\kappa}+\frac{n-1}{2g\kappa} = \frac{n}{2g\kappa}$

By definition $(3-19)$, we can write

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(1-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)^2}} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} = \sqrt{\frac{2g\kappa}{n}} \tag{4-44}$$

By using the definition of entanglement entropy, we have

$$S(\rho) = \frac{\gamma}{2}(1+\frac{1}{\gamma})\log\frac{\gamma}{2}(1+\frac{1}{\gamma}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\gamma})\log\frac{\gamma}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\gamma}) \tag{4-45}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}((\gamma+1)(\log\frac{\gamma}{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma})) - \frac{1}{2}((\gamma-1)(\log\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{1}{\gamma}))$$

So

$$S(\rho)_{1,23} = \log\frac{\gamma}{2}+1 = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{g\kappa}{2n}+1 \tag{4-46}$$

Where κ is the size of the boundary between the first and the second subsets. So, we see that the entanglement entropy has a logarithmic relation with the ratio of size of boundary to the size of the system.

We can calculate the above result for (4-37) and (4-39). We can rewrite the $d^{(1)}_{(12,3)}$ by using By using (4-37) and (2-6), as following form

$$d^{(1)}_{(12,3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2g\mu}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2g(\kappa-\lambda-1)}+\frac{\kappa-\lambda}{\kappa-\lambda-1}\frac{2g\kappa}{(\kappa-\lambda-1)(1+2g\kappa)}}}$$

Where $\frac{2g\kappa}{(\kappa-\lambda-1)(1+2g\kappa)} \simeq \frac{1}{(\kappa-\lambda-1)}(1-\frac{1}{2g\kappa})$

So

$$d^{(1)}_{(12,3)} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2g\mu}}\sqrt{1+\frac{\kappa+1}{2g\mu(n-\kappa-1)}}} = 1-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$$

And $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2g\mu}+\frac{\kappa+1}{2g\mu(n-\kappa-1)} = \frac{n}{2g\mu(n-\kappa-1)}$

By the same way and by $(4-45)$ and $(4-46)$, we have

$$S(\rho)_{12,3} = \log\frac{\gamma}{2}+1 = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\mu g(n-\kappa-1)}{2n}+1 \tag{4-47}$$

Where $\mu(n-\kappa-1)$ is the size of boundary.

4.2 Examples: Some important kinds of SRG classes by using stratification which don't contain nonisomorph SRGs

In this section we want to study the entanglement entropy for some kinds of SRGs. We could identify their adjacency matrices in the stratification basis. Two graphs will be isomorphic, when those are related to each other by a relabeling of vertices. For these kinds of SRGs, there are not any nonisomorph SRG.

example I:Normal subgroup graph $(2m, m, 0, m)$

Let G be a finite group, and $P = P_0, P_1, ..., P_d$ be a blueprint of it. we always assume that the sets P_i are so numbered that the identity element e of G belongs to P_0, if $P_0 = e$, the P is called homogeneous. Let $R_0, R_1, ..., R_d$ be the set of relations $R_i = (\alpha, \beta) \in G \otimes G | \alpha^{-1}\beta \in P_i$

on G. Now, we define a blueprint for group G which form a strongly regular graph. If H is a subgroup of G , we define the blueprints by

$$P_0 = e, P_1 = G - H, p_2 = H - e \tag{4-48}$$

This blueprint form a strongly regular graph with parameters $(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu) = (|G|, |G|-|H|, |G|-2|H|, |G|-|H|)$. As an example, we consider $G = D_{2m}(m = odd)$

$$H = e, a, a^{-1}, ..., a^{(m-1)/2}, a^{-(m-1)/2} \tag{4-49}$$

Therefore the blueprints are given by

$$P_0 = e, P_1 = b, ab, a^2b, ..., a_{m-1}b, P_2 = e, a, a^{-1}, ..., a^{(m-1)/2}, a^{-(m-1)/2} \tag{4-50}$$

Which form a strongly regular graphs with parameters $(2m, m, 0, m)$. The stratification basis for this graph are

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_0\rangle &= |e\rangle \\ |\phi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |a^i b\rangle \\ |\phi_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |a^i\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{4-51}$$

The action of adjacency matrix on the stratification basis is

$$\begin{aligned} A|\phi_0\rangle &= \sqrt{m}|\phi_1\rangle \\ A|\phi_1\rangle &= \sqrt{m}|\phi_0\rangle + \sqrt{m(m-1)}|\phi_2\rangle \\ A|\phi_2\rangle &= \sqrt{m(m-1)}|\phi_1\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{4-52}$$

So, the adjacency matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{m} & 0 \\ \sqrt{m} & 0 & \sqrt{m(m-1)} \\ 0 & \sqrt{m(m-1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-53}$$

After the generalized Schur complement method, the schmidt numbers are

$$d^{(1)}_{1,23} = \frac{2\sqrt{m}g}{\sqrt{(1+2gm)^2 - 4m(m-1)g^2}} \tag{4-54}$$

And

$$d^{(1)}_{12,3} = \frac{2\sqrt{m(m-1)}g}{\sqrt{(1+2gm)^2 - 4mg^2}} \tag{4-55}$$

And

$$d^{(1)}_{13,2} = \frac{2g}{1+2gm}\sqrt{m(2m-1)} \tag{4-56}$$

example II: $\kappa = \mu : (2k - \lambda, k, \lambda, k)$

For the case $\kappa = \mu$ from equation (4-26),

$$A_{22} = 0$$

So from (4-27),

$$A^T_{12} A_{12} = \kappa J_{k'k'}$$

So, $A_{12} = J_{\kappa\acute{\kappa}}$.

The second equation for the diagonal elements is rewritten:

$$\lambda + \acute{\kappa} - \mu + 1 = 0 \tag{4-57}$$

By substituting $n - \kappa - 1$ for $\acute{\kappa}$

$$n = 2\kappa - \lambda \tag{4-58}$$

It can be shown that by considering the other elements of second equation, again the same equation as diagonal elements($n = 2\kappa - \lambda$) are obtained.

So, the parameters is $(2\kappa - \lambda, \kappa, \lambda, \kappa)$.

Therefore we conclude that the Schmidt number and entanglement entropy is obtained from the first stratum. It's clear that the entanglement entropy can not distinguish two non-isomorphic

graphs of these kinds. the parameter $d^{(1)}_{(12,3)}$ is obtained just from (4-37) by substituting κ instead of μ

$$d^{(1)}_{(12,3)} = \frac{2\sqrt{n-\kappa-1}\sqrt{\kappa}g}{\sqrt{(1+2g\kappa)(1+2g(k-\lambda))-4g^2k}} \tag{4-59}$$

example III: $\lambda = 0 : (\frac{k(k-1)}{\mu} + k + 1, k, 0, \mu)$

In this case, from equation (4-24) we find that

$$A_{11} = 0$$

So by substituting $\lambda = 0$ in the third equations of (4-30), the eigenvalues of A_{12} for the first stratum and other strata are

$$\lambda^{(1)}_{12} = \mu(\kappa - 1)$$

$$\lambda^{(i\neq 1)}_{12} = \kappa - \mu \tag{4-60}$$

We have explained the case $\kappa = \mu$ in the previous example, So we suppose that $\kappa \neq \mu$, therefore $\lambda_{12} \neq 0$. So from (4-41) we find that

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda - \mu = -\mu$$

In this case also the Schmidt number is related to parameters of SRG, So it can not distinguish non-isomorph graphs the same as the previous example.

The parameter $d^{(i\neq 1)}_{(2,3)}$ for these kind of graphs become

$$d^{(i\neq 1)}_{(2,3)} = \frac{2g\sqrt{\kappa-\mu}}{\sqrt{1+2g\kappa}\sqrt{1+2g(\kappa-\mu)}} \tag{4-61}$$

example IV: $A_{12}A^T_{12} = \kappa$

Now we want to investigate the SRG graphs these kind which their A_{12} is $\kappa \times 1$ complete graph. So

$$A^T_{12}A_{12} = \kappa \tag{4-62}$$

And this case satisfy the equations (4-25), (4-27) and (4-28) by considering

$$\lambda = \kappa - 2$$

$$n = \kappa + 2 \tag{4-63}$$

Therefore the parameters of this case will be:

$$(\kappa + 2, \kappa, \kappa - 2, \kappa)$$

We know that it is possible to write the matrices $A_1 1$ and $A_2 2$ in terms of the matrix representations of permutation group, So suppose

$$A_{11} = J - I - \pi$$

$$A_{11}^2 = (\kappa - 4)J + I + \pi^2 + 2\pi$$

Also from(4-28), we find that

$$A_{11}^2 = (\kappa - 2)J - 2A_{11}$$

After comparing the two above equation

$$\pi^2 = I$$

We conclude that π is an element of cycle group with order two, therefore the parameter κ can not be odd. By substituting the parameters of these kinds of SRG into (2-8) we find

$$A^2 = \kappa J - 2A$$

By comparing this relation for A^2 with relation for A_{11}^2 , and one conclude that the matrix A_{11} of this graph for the case with degree κ, is the Adjacency matrix of these kinds of graphs with degree $\kappa - 2$. Therefore the third stratum, contains only one vertex, So these kinds of graphs can not distinguish the nonisomorph graphs.

4.3 examples:Some important kinds of SRGs which contain nonisomorph SRGs

For some important kinds of SRGs, we could identified their adjacency matrices in the stratification basis. Then we investigate the graph isomorphism problem by using the blocks of

adjacency matrices in the stratification basis analytically.

example I: Triangular graph $(\frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{2}, 2(\nu-2), \nu-2, 4)$

for positive integer ν the triangular graph T_n is strongly regular graph.As the construction is completely symmetric, we may begin by considering any vertex, say the one labeled by the set $(1,2)$.Every vertex labeled by a set of form $(1,i)$ or $(2,i)$, for $i \geq 3$, will be connected to this set.So, this vertex, and every vertex, has degree $2(\nu-2)$. For any neighbor of $(1,2)$,say $(1,3)$, every other vertex of form $(1,i)$ for $i \geq 4$ will be a neighbor of both of these, as will the set of $(2,3)$. Carrying this out in general, we find that $\lambda = \nu - 2$. Finally any non-neighbor of $(1,2)$, say $(3,4)$, will have 4 common neighbors with $(1,2)$ [13]. So, $\mu = 4$ and $n = \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

In triangular graph the A_{11} is defined as following form:

$$A_{11} = I_2 \otimes (J_{\nu-2} - I_{\nu-2}) + X \otimes I_{\nu-2} \tag{4-64}$$

where $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

And the eigenvalues of A_{11} is

$$\lambda_1 = \nu-2, \nu-4, \overbrace{0}^{\nu-3}, \overbrace{-2}^{\nu-3}$$

$\nu - 2$ is the biggest eigenvalue. By using it, we can calculate the 3×3 block of adjacency matrix as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2(\nu-2)} & 0 \\ \sqrt{2(\nu-2)} & \nu-2 & 2\sqrt{(\nu-3)} \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{(\nu-3)} & 2(\nu-4) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-65}$$

The schmidt number between each two parts in first strata obtained from equation (4-37),(4-38) and(4-39)

$$d^{(1)}_{1,23} = \frac{2g\sqrt{2(\nu-2)(1+8g)}}{\sqrt{1+4g(\nu-2)}\sqrt{(1+8g)(1+2g(\nu-2)) - 16g^2(\nu-3)}} \tag{4-66}$$

And

$$d^{(1)}_{12,3} = \frac{4g\sqrt{(\nu-3)(1+4g(\nu-2))}}{\sqrt{1+8g}\sqrt{(1+4g(\nu-2))(1+2g(\nu-2)) - 8g^2(\nu-3)}} \tag{4-67}$$

and

$$d^{(1)}_{13,2} = 4g\sqrt{\frac{(\nu-2)^2}{(1+4g(\nu-2))(1+2g(\nu-2))} + \frac{\nu-3}{(1+8g)(1+2g(\nu-2))}} \tag{4-68}$$

By using other eigenvalues and the equation (4-42), we see that the eigenvalues $\nu-4, -2$ are singlet. So, we can calculate other strata of triangular graph by $\lambda_1 = 0$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2(\nu-4)} \\ \sqrt{2(\nu-4)} & \nu-6 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-69}$$

By using the Schur complement method, the schmidt number can be calculated as following

$$d^{(2)}_{(2,3)} = \frac{2g\sqrt{2(\nu-4)}}{\sqrt{1+4g(\nu-4)}\sqrt{1+2g(\nu-2)}} \tag{4-70}$$

And the entanglement entropy can be obtained from equation (3-18) and (3-19).

The strong regular graph with parameters $(28, 12, 6, 4)$ have 4 non-isomorphic graphs that one of them is triangular graph.

example II: Lattice graphs $(\nu^2, 2(\nu-1), \nu-2, 2)$

For positive integer ν, the lattice graph L_n is the graph with vertex set $1, ..., \nu^2$ in which vertex (a, b) is connected to vertex (c, d) if $a = c$ or $b = d$.Thus the vertices may be arranged at the points in an $\nu-$by$-\nu$ grid, with vertices being connected if they lie in the same row or column. It is routine to see that the parameters of this graph are:

$$\kappa = 2(\nu-1), \lambda = \nu-2, \mu = 2 \tag{4-71}$$

In lattice graph adjacency matrix is

$$A = I_\nu \otimes (J_\nu - I_\nu) + (J_\nu - I_\nu) \otimes I_\nu \tag{4-72}$$

So, the A_{11} is defined as following form:

$$A_{11} = I_2 \otimes (J_{\nu-1} - I_{\nu-1}) \tag{4-73}$$

And the eigenvalues of A_{11} are

$$\lambda_1 = \overbrace{\nu-2}^{2}, \overbrace{-1}^{2(\nu-2)}$$

$\nu-2$ is the biggest eigenvalue. By using it, we can calculate the 3×3 block of adjacency matrix as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2(\nu-1)} & 0 \\ \sqrt{2(\nu-1)} & \nu-2 & \sqrt{2(\nu-1)} \\ 0 & \sqrt{2(\nu-1)} & 2(\nu-2) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-74}$$

As example I the entanglement entropy between each two parts in the first strata obtained from equation (4-37),(4-38)and (4-39).

$$d^{(1)}_{1,23} = \frac{2g\sqrt{2(\nu-1)(1+4g)}}{\sqrt{1+4g(\nu-1)}\sqrt{(1+4g)(1+2g\nu)-8g^2(\nu-1)}} \tag{4-75}$$

And

$$d^{(1)}_{12,3} = \frac{2g\sqrt{2(\nu-1)(1+4g(\nu-1))}}{\sqrt{1+4g}\sqrt{(1+4g(\nu-1))(1+2g\nu)-8g^2(\nu-1)}} \tag{4-76}$$

and

$$d^{(1)}_{13,2} = 2g\sqrt{\frac{4(\nu-1)^2}{(1+4g(\nu-1))(1+2g\nu)}+\frac{2(\nu-1)}{(1+4g)(1+2g\nu)}} \tag{4-77}$$

By using other eigenvalues and the equation $(4-42)$, we can calculate other strata of lattice graph. By $\lambda_1=-1$ the second strata is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{(\nu-1)} \\ \sqrt{(\nu-1)} & \nu-3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-78}$$

The schmidt number can be calculated as following

$$d^{(2)}_{(2,3)} = \frac{2g\sqrt{(\nu-1)}}{\sqrt{1+4g(\nu-1)}\sqrt{1+2g(\nu-1)}} \tag{4-79}$$

And the entanglement entropy can be obtained from equation (3-18) and (3-19).

The strong regular graph with parameters $(16,6,2,2)$ have 2 non-isomorphic graphs that one of them is lattice graph.

example III: Latin Square graphs $(\nu^2, 3(\nu-1), \nu, 6)$

A Latin Square is an $\nu-$by$-\nu$ grid, each entry of which is a number between 1 and ν, such

that no number appears twice in any row or column. So, it will have ν^2 nodes, one for each cell in the square. Two nodes are joined by an edge if

1. they are in the same row,
2. they are in the same column, or
3. they hold the same number.

So, such a graph has degree $\kappa = 3(\nu-1)$. Any two nodes in the same row will both be neighbors with every other pair of nodes in their row. They will have two more common neighbors: The nodes in their columns holding the other's number. So, they have ν common neighbors. The same obviously holds for columns, and is easy to see for nodes that have the same number.So, every pair of nodes that are neighbors have exactly $\lambda = \nu$ common neighbors. On the other hand, consider two vertices that are not neighbors,they lie in different rows, lie in different columns, and hold different numbers. So, $\mu = 6$.

In latin square graph adjacency matrix is

$$A = I_\nu \otimes (J_\nu - I_\nu) + (J_\nu - I_\nu) \otimes I_\nu + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} S^k \otimes S^{n-k} \tag{4-80}$$

Where S is shift operator. So, the A_{11} is defined as following form:

$$A_{11} = I_3 \otimes (J_{\nu-1} - I_{\nu-1}) + (J_3 - I_3) \otimes f_{\nu-1} \tag{4-81}$$

Where $f_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 1 \\ 0 & ... & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & ... & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is off-diagonal matrix.

If $\nu = 2l(\nu$ is even), the eigenvalues of A_{11} is

$$\lambda_1 = \nu, \overbrace{\nu-3}^{2}, \overbrace{1}^{l-1}, \overbrace{0}^{(\nu-2)}, \overbrace{-2}^{(\nu-2)}, \overbrace{-3}^{l-1}$$

ν is the biggest eigenvalue. So the 3×3 block of adjacency matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3(\nu-1)} & 0 \\ \sqrt{3(\nu-1)} & \nu & 2\sqrt{3(\nu-2)} \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{3(\nu-2)} & 3(\nu-3) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-82}$$

Again, the entanglement entropy between each two parts in the first stratum, is obtained from equation (4-37),(4-38) and (4-39). So we have

$$d^{(1)}_{1,23} = \frac{2g\sqrt{3(\nu-1)(1+12g)}}{\sqrt{1+6g(\nu-1)}\sqrt{(1+12g)(1+2g(2\nu-3))-48g^2(\nu-2)}} \tag{4-83}$$

And

$$d^{(1)}_{12,3} = \frac{4g\sqrt{3(\nu-2)(1+6g(\nu-1))}}{\sqrt{1+12g}\sqrt{(1+6g(\nu-1))(1+2g(2\nu-3))-12g^2(\nu-1)}} \tag{4-84}$$

and

$$d^{(1)}_{13,2} = 2g\sqrt{\frac{9(\nu-1)^2}{(1+6g(\nu-1))(1+2g(2\nu-3))}+\frac{12(\nu-2)}{(1+12g)(1+2g(2\nu-3))}} \tag{4-85}$$

By using other eigenvalues and the equation (4-42), we see that $\lambda_1 = \nu-3, -3$ are singlets. So, we can calculate other strata of latin square graph by $\lambda_1 = 1, 0, -2$. the other strata are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{4(\nu-4)} \\ \sqrt{4(\nu-4)} & \nu-7 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-86}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3(\nu-3)} \\ \sqrt{3(\nu-3)} & \nu-6 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-87}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & \sqrt{(\nu-1)} \\ \sqrt{(\nu-1)} & \nu-4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-88}$$

So the parameters $d^{(i)}_{(2,3)}$ where $i = 2, 3, \ldots, l+\nu-1$ are

$$d^{(2)}_{(2,3)} = \frac{2g\sqrt{4(\nu-4)}}{\sqrt{1+2g(4\nu-17)}\sqrt{1+6g(\nu-3)}} \tag{4-89}$$

$$d_{(2,3)}^{(l+1)} = \frac{2g\sqrt{3(\nu-3)}}{\sqrt{1+2g(2\nu-3)}\sqrt{1+6g(\nu-3)}} \tag{4-90}$$

$$d_{(2,3)}^{(l+\nu-1)} = \frac{2g\sqrt{(\nu-1)}}{\sqrt{1+2g(\nu+1)}\sqrt{1+6g}} \tag{4-91}$$

And the entanglement entropy can be obtained from equation (3-18) and (3-19).

If $\nu = 2l+1$(ν is odd), the eigenvalues of A_{11} are

$$\lambda_1 = \nu, \overbrace{\nu-3}^{2}, \overbrace{1}^{l-1}, \overbrace{-2}^{(\nu-3)}, \overbrace{0}^{(\nu-1)}, \overbrace{-3}^{l}$$

The strata of this case (ν is odd) is the same as first case (ν is even).

The strong regular graph with parameters $(16, 9, 4, 6)$ have 2 non-isomorphic graphs and $(25, 12, 5, 6)$ have 15 non-isomorphic graphs and $(49, 18, 7, 6)$ have 147 non-isomorphic graphs that one of them is latin square graph.

example IV: Generalized Quadrangle $GQ(s,t)$, $((st+1)(s+1), s(t+1), s-1, t+1)$

A Generalized Quadrangle $GQ(s,t)$ is an incidence structure of points and lines with the following properties [21]

1. Every line has $s+1$ points and every point is on $t+1$ lines.

2. Any two distinct points are incident with at most one line.

3. Given a line L and a point p not on L, there is a unique point on L collinear with p(two points are said to be collinear if there is a line incident with both).

Its strongly regular graph 's parameter set is $((st+1)(s+1), s(t+1), s-1, t+1)$. Necessary conditions for existence of a $GQ(s,t)$ are $1 \leq t \leq s^2$ if $s > 1$, and $s+t$ divides $st(s+1)(t+1)$. So,the A_{11} is defined as following form:

$$A_{11} = I_{t+1} \otimes (J_s - I_s) \tag{4-92}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \overbrace{s-1}^{t+1}, \overbrace{-1}^{(t+1)(s-1)}$$

$s-1$ is the biggest eigenvalue. So the 3×3 block of adjacency matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{s(t+1)} & 0 \\ \sqrt{s(t+1)} & s-1 & \sqrt{st(t+1)} \\ 0 & \sqrt{st(t+1)} & (s-1)(t+1) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-93}$$

We have

$$d^{(1)}_{1,23} = \frac{2g\sqrt{s(t+1)(1+2g(t+1)}}{\sqrt{1+2gs(t+1)}\sqrt{(1+2g(t+1))(1+2g(st+1))-4g^2st(t+1)}} \tag{4-94}$$

And

$$d^{(1)}_{12,3} = \frac{2g\sqrt{st(1+t)(1+2gs(t+1))}}{\sqrt{1+2g(t+1)}\sqrt{(1+2gs(t+1))(1+2g(st+1))-4g^2s(t+1)}} \tag{4-95}$$

and

$$d^{(1)}_{13,2} = 2g\sqrt{\frac{s^2(t+1)^2}{(1+2gs(t+1))(1+2g(st+1))}+\frac{st(t+1)}{(1+2g(t+1))(1+2g(st+1))}} \tag{4-96}$$

By using other eigenvalues and the equation (4-42), we can calculate other strata of generalized quadrangle graph. The second strata is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{st} \\ \sqrt{st} & s-t-1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-97}$$

The parameter $d^{(2)}_{(2,3)}$ is

$$d^{(2)}_{(2,3)} = \frac{2g\sqrt{st}}{\sqrt{1+2g(1+st)}\sqrt{1+2g(s(t-1)+t+1)}} \tag{4-98}$$

And the entanglement entropy can be obtained from equation (3-18) and (3-19).

The strong regular graph with parameters $(40,12,2,4)$ have 28 non-isomorphic graphs and $(45,12,3,3)$ have 78 non-isomorphic graphs and $(64,18,2,6)$ have 167 non-isomorphic graphs that one of them is generalized quadrangle graph.

4.4 Area-Law

Entanglement entropy is a quantitative measure of the quantum entanglement. A natural problem then, is to divide the system into two regions and study the entanglement entropy between them. In general, short-range correlations, which are non-universal, give a contribution proportional to the area of the boundary between the two regions to the entanglement entropy. This is often called as the area-law contribution. In one dimension, the area-law contribution is constant with respect to the system size or to the size of the regions. For a regular lattice, the size of the boundary of an element is given by twice its dimensionality thus, in analogy, for a node in a complex network its boundary is given by its connectivity.

In our system, the area law is studied in bipartite systems. Two case will be choose

Case I: μ is finite and λ, κ are infinite.

When λ, κ are infinite, it means that the size of the system is infinite. The parameter γ from (3-19) can be written as

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{(1,23)} = \sqrt{\frac{1+2g\kappa}{1+2g\kappa - \frac{4g^2\kappa(1+2g\mu)}{1+4g^2\mu+2g\mu(\kappa-\lambda+\mu)}}} \tag{4-99}$$

By finite μ, in highest connectivity, the statement $\frac{4g^2\kappa(1+2g\mu)}{1+4g^2\mu+2g\mu(\kappa-\lambda+\mu)}$ tended to zero and parameter γ tended to one. So, in the large size of the system, we don't have large amount of entanglement entropy.

For example, in triangle graph (4-66), lattice graph (4-75) and latin square graph(4-83), for infinite ν, it is clear that the schmidt number $d^{(1)}_{(1,23)} \to 0$. So the parameter γ tends to one. entanglement entropy $S(\rho)_{(1,23)} \to 0$. So, there is no entanglement between strata.

Case II: λ is finite and $\kappa = \mu$ is infinite

In this case, the parameter γ from (3-18) can be written as

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{(1,23)} = \sqrt{\frac{1+2g\kappa}{1+2g\kappa - \frac{4g^2\kappa(1+2g\kappa)}{1+4g^2\kappa+2g\kappa(2\kappa-\lambda)}}} \tag{4-100}$$

Also, in this case the parameter γ tends to one and the entanglement entropy $S(\rho)_{(1,23)} \to 0$. So, there is no entanglement between strata.

5 Investigation of graph isomorphism problem in SRGs

Two graph will be isomorphic, when those are related to each other by a relabeling of vertices.two non isomorphic graphs could be distinguished with quantum random walk in ref [13]. Here, we want to investigate the graph isomorphism problem by using different eigenvalues of the matrix A_{12}. Our method can distinguish non-isomorphic graphs with simple method.
There are some non-isomorphic graphs with SRG parameters, which their λ_{12}s are different.

$$(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu) = (25, 12, 5, 6)$$

. There are 6 different eigenvalues of the matrix A_{12}.

$$\lambda_{12}(1) = 6, \overbrace{2.4495}^{3}, 2.3268, 2.1753, 2, 1.6080, 1.1260, \overbrace{0}^{3}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(2) = 6, \overbrace{2.4495}^{4}, \overbrace{1.7321}^{4}, \overbrace{0}^{3}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(3) = 6, \overbrace{2.4495}^{4}, \overbrace{2.1753}^{2}, \overbrace{1.1260}^{2}, \overbrace{0}^{3}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(4) = 6, \overbrace{2.4495}^{2}, \overbrace{2.2770}^{2}, \overbrace{2}^{3}, \overbrace{0.7672}^{2}, \overbrace{0}^{2}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(5) = 6, \overbrace{2.4495}^{4}, \overbrace{2}^{3}, \overbrace{0}^{4}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(6) = 6, \overbrace{2}^{9}, \overbrace{0}^{2}$$

Another graph is

$$(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu) = (26, 10, 3, 4)$$

. There are 5 different eigenvalues of the matrix A_{12}.

$$\lambda_{12}(1) = 4.8990, 2.4972, 2.3073, \overbrace{2.2361}^{4}, 1.3556, 1.3281, 0.5645$$

$$\lambda_{12}(2) = 4.8990, \overbrace{2.4495}^{2}, \overbrace{2}^{6}, 0$$

$$\lambda_{12}(3) = 4.8990, \overbrace{2.4994}^{2}, 2.4812, \overbrace{2.1342}^{2}, \overbrace{1.7883}^{2}, 1.1701, 0.6889$$

$$\lambda_{12}(4) = 4.8990, \overbrace{2.4953}^{2}, \overbrace{2.2770}^{2}, \overbrace{2}^{3}, \overbrace{0.7672}^{2}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(5) = 4.8990, \overbrace{2.4495}^{4}, \overbrace{2}^{3}, \overbrace{0}^{2}$$

Another graph is

$$(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu) = (28, 12, 6, 4)$$

. There are 4 different eigenvalues of the matrix A_{12}.

$$\lambda_{12}(1) = \sqrt{20}, \overbrace{2.9356}^{2}, \overbrace{2.5263}^{2}, \overbrace{2.2361}^{2}, \overbrace{0}^{5}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(2) = \sqrt{20}, \overbrace{\sqrt{8}}^{5}, \overbrace{0}^{6}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(3) = \sqrt{20}, \overbrace{\sqrt{8}}^{4}, \overbrace{2}^{2}, \overbrace{0}^{5}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(4) = \sqrt{20}, \overbrace{\sqrt{8}}^{2}, \overbrace{2.4495}^{4}, \overbrace{0}^{5}$$

Another graph is

$$(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu) = (36, 14, 4, 6)$$

. There are 3 different eigenvalues of the matrix A_{12}.

$$\lambda_{12}(1) = 7.3485, 2.9849, 2, 9832, 2.9713, 2, 9244, 2.8810, 2.7777, 2.6722, 2.2143$$

$$, 2.1213, 1.7809, 0.7695, 0.6985, 0$$

$$\lambda_{12}(2) = 7.3485, \overbrace{2.9713}^{4}, \overbrace{2.8284}^{3}, \overbrace{1.7809}^{4}, \overbrace{0}^{2}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(3) = 7.3485, 2.9863, 2.9785, 2.9356, 2.9173, 2.7501, 2.5354, 2.5263, 2.3189, 2.2998$$

$$2.0165, 1.2072, 0.7204, 0$$

Another graph is

$$(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu) = (40, 12, 2, 4)$$

. There are 4 different eigenvalues of the matrix A_{12}.

$$\lambda_{12}(1) = 6, \overbrace{3}^{4}, \overbrace{2.8284}^{2}, \overbrace{2.2361}^{4}, 0$$

$$\lambda_{12}(2) = 6, \overbrace{3}^{6}, 2.8284, \overbrace{2.2361}^{2}, \overbrace{0}^{2}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(4) = 6, \overbrace{3}^{8}, \overbrace{0}^{3}$$

Another graph is

$$(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu) = (50, 21, 8, 9)$$

. There are 10 different eigenvalues of the matrix A_{12}.

$$\lambda_{12}(1) = 10.3923, 3.4971, 3.4681, 3, 4568, 3.4514, 3.3775, 3.2582, 3.1566$$

$$, 3.1279, 2.9672, 2.9551, 2.8718, 2.6479, 2.4173, 2.1405, 1.9254, 1.7811, 1.2576, 1.2507$$

$$0.9902, 0.1757$$

$$\lambda_{12}(2) = 10.3923, 3.4998, 3.4873, 3.4097, 3.4095, 3.3335, 3.3019, 3.2827$$

$$, 3.2455, 2.9175, 2.8205, 2.7827, 2.6663, 2.3496, 2.1026, 1.9616, 1.7264, 1.6747, 1.1559$$

$$0.8272, 0.2970$$

$$\lambda_{12}(3) = 10.3923, 3.5, 3.4826, 3.4790, 3.4612, 3.3755, 3.2339, 3.1841$$

$$3.1585, 3.0605, 3.0311, 2.5686, 2.5446, 2.4968, 2.3267, 2.2466, 1.3636, 1.3571$$

$$0.9672, 0.7667, 0.1583$$

$$\lambda_{12}(4) = 10.3923, 3.4963, 3.4945, 3.4877, 3.47, 3.4433, 3.2566, 3.1966$$

$$3.1858, 2.9511, 2.9340, 2.5904, 2.5879, 2.3506, 1.9505, 1.9489, 1.8770, 1.7911$$

$$1.1268, 0.8170, 0.0947$$

$$\lambda_{12}(5) = 10.3923, 3.4757, 3.4589, 3.4482, 3.3634, 3.3024, 3.2533, 3.1747$$

$$3.0016, 2.9364, 2.7631, 2.7481, 2.6822, 2.6359, 2.4051, 1.9295, 1.8550, 1.7033$$

$$1.0740, 1.0527, 0.3333$$

$$\lambda_{12}(6) = 10.3923, 3.4978, 3.4826, 3.4741, 3.3731, 3.2942, 3.2315, 3.2165$$

$$3.1663, 2.9801, 2.9188, 2.5423, 2.5208, 2.2940, 2.2563, 2.0890, 1.8087, 1.7151$$

$$1.1861, 1.1438, 0.8130$$

$$\lambda_{12}(7) = 10.3923, 4.0749, 3.4989, 3.4975, 3.4216, 3.3640, 3.2608, 3.2068$$

$$3.0944, 2.8368, 2.7623, 2.6790, 2.6558, 2.1554, 2.0768, 1.9787, 1.7463, 1.2244$$

$$0.9112, 0.6396, 0$$

$$\lambda_{12}(8) = 10.3923, \overbrace{3.4641}^{4}, \overbrace{3.1623}^{6}, \overbrace{2.4495}^{6}, \overbrace{0}^{4}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(9) = 10.3923, 3.4998, \overbrace{3.4835}^{2}, 3.3621, \overbrace{3.3535}^{2}, \overbrace{3.1446}^{2}$$

$$\overbrace{3}^{2}, \overbrace{2.4466}^{2}, 2.4495, \overbrace{2.2384}^{2}, \overbrace{1.7989}^{2}, 0.6692, \overbrace{0.5012}^{2}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(10) = 10.3923, 3.4490, 3.4963, \overbrace{2.4549}^{2}, \overbrace{3.3425}^{2}, 3.2578, \overbrace{2.9974}^{2}$$

$$2.6779, 2.6114, \overbrace{2.4495}^{2}, \overbrace{2.3361}^{2}, 1.7885, 1.5948, 1.0896, \overbrace{0.6706}^{2}$$

Another graph is

$$(n, \kappa, \lambda, \mu) = (64, 18, 2, 6)$$

. There are 2 different eigenvalues of the matrix A_{12}.

$$\lambda_{12}(1) = 9.4868, \overbrace{3.8730}^{12}, \overbrace{0}^{5}$$

$$\lambda_{12}(2) = 9.4868, \overbrace{3.8730}^{6}, \overbrace{2.6458}^{6}, \overbrace{0}^{2}$$

6 Conclusion

The entanglement entropy could be obtained between two parts in the quantum networks that their nodes are considered as quantum harmonic oscillators. The Schur complement method was used to calculate the Schmidt number and entanglement entropy between two parts of graph. The adjacency matrices of strongly regular graphs were written in the stratification basis. we could calculate some important relations for the blocks of adjacency matrices. Also in four important classes of SRGs, all blocks of adjacency matrices could be found in terms of the parameters of SRGs analytically. More, the relationship between size of the boundary of strata and entanglement entropy is obtained in the limit of large coupling.

We could develop the quantum algorithms for distinguishing some non-isomorphic pairs of SRGs, by using the elements of blocks of adjacency matrices in the stratification basis. By this method, we could develop the quantum algorithms for distinguishing some non-isomorphic pairs of SRGs, by simple way.

one expects that the Above methods (stratification basis and the generalized schur complement method) can be used for calculating entanglement entropy in the excited states of quantum harmonic oscillator and other quantum models.

The other aim is that the considered techniques, be generalized to other kinds of graphs such as association schemes. It is under investigation for some distance regular graphs.

Appendix

A Schur Complement method

Let M be an $n \times n$ matrix written a as 2×2 block matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \tag{A-101}$$

where A is a $p \times p$ matrix and D is a $q \times q$ matrix, with $n = p + q$ (so, B is a $p \times q$ matrix and C is a $q \times p$ matrix). We can try to solve the linear system

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \tag{A-102}$$

that is

$$Ax + By = c$$

$$Cx + Dy = d \tag{A-103}$$

by mimicking Gaussian elimination, that is, assuming that D is invertible, we first solve for y getting

$$y = D^{-1}(d - Cx)$$

and after substituting this expression for y in the first equation, we get

$$Ax + B(D^{-1}(d - Cx)) = c$$

and

$$(A - BD^{-1}C)x = c - BD^{-1}d \tag{A-104}$$

The invertible matrix, $A - BD^{-1}C$, is called the Schur Complement of D in M.

B Stratification

For an underlying network Γ, let $W = \mathcal{C}^n$ (with $n = |V|$) be the vector space over $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of column vectors whose coordinates are indexed by vertex set V of Γ, and whose entries are in $\mathcal{C}$. For all $\beta \in V$, let $|\beta\rangle$ denotes the element of W with a 1 in the β coordinate and 0 in all other coordinates. We observe $\{|\beta\rangle | \beta \in V\}$ is an orthonormal basis for W, but in this basis, W is reducible and can be reduced to irreducible subspaces W_i, $i = 0, 1, ..., d$, i.e.,

$$W = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus ... \oplus W_d, \tag{B-105}$$

where, d is diameter of the corresponding association scheme. If we define $\Gamma_i(o) = \{\beta \in V : (o, \beta) \in R_i\}$ for an arbitrary chosen vertex $o \in V$ (called reference vertex), then, the vertex set V can be written as disjoint union of $\Gamma_i(\alpha)$, i.e.,

$$V = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d} \Gamma_i(\alpha). \tag{B-106}$$

In fact, the relation (B-106) stratifies the network into a disjoint union of strata (associate classes) $\Gamma_i(o)$. With each stratum $\Gamma_i(o)$ one can associate a unit vector $|\phi_i\rangle$ in W (called unit vector of i-th stratum) defined by

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_i}} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_i(o)} |\alpha\rangle, \tag{B-107}$$

where, $|\alpha\rangle$ denotes the eigenket of α-th vertex at the associate class $\Gamma_i(o)$ and $\kappa_i = |\Gamma_i(o)|$ is called the i-th valency of the network ($\kappa_i := p^0_{ii} = |\{\gamma : (o, \gamma) \in R_i\}| = |\Gamma_i(o)|$). For $0 \leq i \leq d$, the unit vectors $|\phi_i\rangle$ of Eq.(B-107) form a basis for irreducible submodule of W with maximal dimension denoted by W_0. Since $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}_{i=0}^{d}$ becomes a complete orthonormal basis of W_0, we often write[15]

$$W_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \oplus \mathbf{C}|\phi_i\rangle. \tag{B-108}$$

Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the underlying network Γ. From the action of A_i on reference state $|\phi_0\rangle$ ($|\phi_0\rangle = |o\rangle$, with $o \in V$ as reference vertex), we have

$$A_i|\phi_0\rangle = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_i(o)} |\beta\rangle. \tag{B-109}$$

Then by using (B-107) and (B-109), we obtain

$$A_i|\phi_0\rangle = \sqrt{\kappa_i}|\phi_i\rangle. \tag{B-110}$$

References

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000).

[2] A. Peres, Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993).

[3] J. Preskill, Quantum Computation, (1997).

[4] D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger (Eds.), The Physics of Quantum Information, (Springer, Berlin, 2000).

[5] G. Alber, R. Beth, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, M. Rotteler, H. Weinfurter, R. Werner, and A. Zeilinger (Eds.), Quantum Information, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001).

[6] L. E. Ballentine, Am. J. Phys. 55, 785 (1986).

[7] D. P. DiVincenzo, Science 270, 255(1995).

[8] O. Muelken and A. Blumen, Phys. Rep. 502, 37 (2011).

[9] A. Cardillo, F. Galve, D. Zueco, and J. Gomez-Gardenes, arXiv:1211.2580 (2012).

[10] D. Spielman, inProceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing(ACM, New York, 1996),pp. 4755.

[11] S. Shiau, R. Joynt, and S. Coppersmith, Quantum Inf. Comput. 5, 492 (2005).

[12] J. K. Gamble, M. Friesen, D. Zhou, R. Joynt, and S. N. Coppersmith,Phys. Rev. A 81, 052313 (2010).

[13] K. Rudinger, J. K. Gamble, M. Wellons, E. Bach, M. Friesen, R. Joynt, and S. N. Coppersmith. Phys. Rev. A. 86, 022334 (2012)

[14] K. Rudinger, J. K. Gamble, M. Wellons, E. Bach, M. Friesen, R. Joynt, and S. N. Coppersmith. (2012)

[15] M. A. Jafarizadeh, S. Salimi, Annals of physics, Vol. 322 1005-1033 (2007).

[16] M. A. Jafarizadeh and S. Salimi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 13295 (2006).

[17] M. A. Jafarizadeh and R.Sufiani, Physica A 381, 116 (2007).

[18] M. A. Jafarizadeh and R. Sufiani, Int. J. Quantum Inf. 5575 (2007).

[19] S. Salimi and M.A. Jafarizadeh, Commun. Theor. Phys. 51, 1003-1009 (2009).

[20] R. J. Elzinga. The Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, 10, 232-239 (2003).

[21] W. H. Haemers, E. Spence. Europ. J. Combinatorics, 22, 839845 (2001).

[22] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. Convex Optimization. Cambridge University Press, first edition, 2004.

[23] T. C. Wei, K. Nemoto, P. M. Goldbart, P. G. Kwiat, W. J. Munro, and F. Verstraete, Phys. Rev. A. 67, 022110 (2003).

[24] A. E. Brouwer and J. H. van Lint, Strongly Regular Graphs and Partial Geometries, In Enumeration and Design: Papers from the conference on combinatorics held at the University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ont., June 14-July 2, 1982 (Ed. D. M. Jackson and S. A. Vanstone). Toronto, Canada: Academic Press, pp. 85-122, (1984).

[25] C. Moore, A. Russell, and P. Sniady, in STOC '07: Proceedings of the thirty-ninth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2007) pp. 536-545.

[26] P. W. Shor, in FOCS '94: Proceedings of the 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 1994) pp. 124-134.